UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,978	02/17/2004	Peter M. Bonutti	782-A03-024	9101
33771 PAUL D. BIAN	7590 01/13/201 <b>ICO</b>	EXAMINER		
Fleit Gibbons Gutman Bongini & Bianco PL 21355 EAST DIXIE HIGHWAY			MASHACK, MARK F	
SUITE 115	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIAMI, FL 33180			3773	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/13/2011	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/779,978	BONUTTI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARK MASHACK	3773		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,7,8,10,14,24,25,35-39,43-46,48 a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,7,8,10,14,24,25,35-39,43-46,48 a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.  and 52-61 is/are rejected.	pplication.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3773

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to a communication dated 11/15/2010. Claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 14, 24-25, 35-39, 43-46, 48, 52-58 are pending.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/15/2010 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed limitation "a biasing element biasing the elongate sleeve in the first sleeve portion, the biasing member imparting a

Art Unit: 3773

compressive force on the retainer received between the first and second compression elements". The specification does not support the elongate sleeve imparting the compressive force.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. **Claim 37** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "The first sleeve position" is defined as a position of the "elongate insulating sleeve" in the independent claim. It is unclear how the "tubular member" is moved to the position of the sleeve.
- 6. Claim 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim language "the second member include[es] an elongated insulation sleeve" and "the insulation sleeve moves independently of movement of the body of the second member" is indefinite because it is unclear how a component of the second member moves relative to the second member.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3773

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 2, 4-5, 7-8, 10, 14, 24, 35-38, 44-46, 59-61 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoon (US 5,908,429).

**Regarding Claim 14, 24, 44, 59-61 Yoon** discloses of a device comprising: a first member including a compressive element **538, 550a**;

a second member **536** including a proximal and a distal end, the distal end having a gapped portion **560** with a second compression element **550b** being integrated into the gapped portion, wherein the tubular second member is movable along a linear path relative to the first member from a first position to a second position, and wherein the first compression element and the second compression element are capable of receiving a retainer therebetween (Col 21, Line 62, - Col 22, Line 16);

an energy source operably connected to the first compression element (Col 21, Lines 57-61 and Col 8, Lines 57-59); and

an elongated insulation sleeve **512** controllably positionable over the tubular second member (Col 8, Lines 44-47), the elongated sleeve further comprising a collar member (proximal collar as labeled **22** in Fig 1), capable of receiving an end portion of a suture, wherein the insulation sleeve **512** is capable of insulating the suture attached to the collar member from energy from the energy source (Col 8, Lines 51-59).

Regarding Claim 35, the insulation sleeve is controllable positioned over the gapped portion and the sliding is independent of the tubular member and an on/off switch is inherent which also serves also a "safety switch" capable of preventing delivery of energy to the gapped portion of the tubular member when the insulation

Art Unit: 3773

sleeve is in the second position. **Regarding Claim 36**, a retainer is capable of being received between the first and second compression elements. **Regarding Claims 37**, the actuating member **540** is capable of moving the tubular member from an open and a closed position. **Regarding Claims 2**, **38**, a bias member biases the tubular member into the first sleeve position (Col 22, Lines 9-13). **Regarding Claims 45-46**, **4-5**, **7-8**, **10**, the first compression element **550a** can emit ultrasonic energy and is considered an ultrasonic horn since it can do so (Col 2, Lines 62-65).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. Claims 3, 39, 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,978

Art Unit: 3773

**Yoon** discloses that the bias member is intended to "cut anatomical tissue" when actuated (Col 22, Lines 13-16). **Yoon** is silent on the compressive force. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum range or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 6

12. Claims 25, 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of Vancaillie (US 5,980,520).

**Yoon** discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the insulation sleeve being biased over the first and second members. However, **Vancaillie** teaches that it is commonly known in the art at the time of the invention to bias an energy transmitting member into a protective sheath (Col 1, Lines 19-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of **Yoon** with the biasing member biasing the first and second members inside of the insulation sleeve in order to prevent inadvertent tissue damage during delivery.

13. Claims 52-53, 55, 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of Bates et al. ("Bates" US 6,348,056).

**Yoon** discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the proximal end of the elongated insulation sleeve including a channel for engaging a pin positioned on the second member, and wherein the channel and the pin cooperate to control a range of motion of the sleeve over the second member. However, **Bates** teaches that it is

commonly known in the art at the time of the invention to controllable move an instrument within a sleeve 12 by providing a pin 18 on the instrument and a groove 14 on the sleeve (FIG 11). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of **Bates**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of **Yoon** with a pin and groove. Doing so would provide an easy way to provide relative motion between the sheath and instrument.

14. Claims 54, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of Vancaillie as applied to Claims 25 and 43 further in view of Bates et al. ("Bates" US 6,348,056).

Yoon in view of Vancaillie discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the proximal end of the elongated insulation sleeve including a channel for engaging a pin positioned on the second member, and wherein the channel and the pin cooperate to control a range of motion of the sleeve over the second member. However, Bates teaches that it is commonly known in the art at the time of the invention to controllable move an instrument within a sleeve 12 by providing a pin 18 on the instrument and a groove 14 on the sleeve (FIG 11). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known

methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of **Bates**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of **Yoon** in view of **Vancaillie** with a pin and groove. Doing so would provide an easy way to provide relative motion between the sheath and instrument.

### Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK MASHACK whose telephone number is (571)270-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Mashack/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773